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## Scientific Machine Learning

## Era of Machine Learning: Image Recognition



Goal: Develop an algorithm to detect 1000 different classes of objects. Human error is around 5\%.
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## Era of Machine Learning: Object Detectors



You Only Look Once: https://pjreddie.com/darknet/yolo/

## Era of Machine Learning: Reinforcement Learning


deepmind.com

## History


geometricdeeplearning.com

## Some issues: Big Data and Complex Models

|  | VGGNet | DeepVideo | GNMT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used For | Identifying Image <br> Category | Identifying Video <br> Category | Translation |
| Input | Image | Video | English Text |
| Output | 1000 Categories | 47 Categories | French Text |
| Parameters | 140M | $\sim 100 \mathrm{M}$ | 380M |
| Data Size | 1.2M Images with <br> assigned Category | 1.1M Videos with <br> assigned Category | 6M Sentence Pairs, <br> 340M Words |
| Dataset | ILSVRC-2012 | Sports-1M | WMT'14 |

## Era of Machine Learning... for Science!?

Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks
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"Despite deep learning's successes, however, important critiques have highlighted key challenges it faces in...reasoning about structured data, transferring learning beyond the training conditions, and learning from small amounts of experience."
"In general, a tension exist between the need for increased complexity of machine learning models to improve results and the need for users to interpret the models and derive new insights and conclusions."

What should machine learning look like in science?
There are a lot of mathematical challenges that accompany this question.

## Scientific Machine Learning: Applied Math + ML

Machine Learning requirements:

- Data:

Massive number of samples that represent your problem.

- Compute power:

Special-purpose hardware to perform parallel computation.

- High-Capacity Models:

Dense set of functions in the function space of your problem.

Scientific requirements:

- Domian-Aware: Leveraging and respecting scientific domain knowledge.
- Interpretable:

Explainable and understandable results.

- Robust:

Stable, well-posed, and reliable formulations.

## State Estimation of Porous

 Media
## Hanford Site

The Hanford Site is a decommissioned nuclear production complex operated by the United States federal government on the Columbia River in Benton County in the U.S. state of Washington.

Hanford Site - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org , wiki > Hanford_Site


- In the 1980s, groundwater contamination totaled about 80 square miles. Today, about 60 square miles of groundwater remains contaminated above federal standards and the level of contamination has been greatly reduced for significant portions of that area.
- There are no active nuclear production facilities; however, the site contains some of the nation's most complicated nuclear and mixed dangerous waste, which must be cleaned up.

The U.S. Department of Energy is required to

google: hanford site nuclear waste doe https://ecology.wa.gov/Waste-Toxics/Nuclear-waste/Hanford-cleanup

## Problem Formulation

Predict the Hydraulic Conductivity and Pressure:


given a small number of measurements.

## Diffusion Equation

These two quantities are related by the following differential equation,

$$
\nabla \cdot(K(\mathbf{x}) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}))=0, \quad \mathbf{x} \equiv\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T} \in(0,1) \times(0,1)
$$

subject to the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
u(\mathbf{x})=1, \quad x_{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad u(\mathbf{x})=0, \quad x_{2}=1
$$

and the Neumann boundary conditions

$$
\frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}}=0 \quad x_{1} \in\{0,1\} .
$$

## Physics Informed Neural Networks

Idea: Utilize the class of Deep Neural Networks to both fit the data and solve the PDE during training.
Formulation: Recast the problem of solving a general nonlinear partial differential equation (PDE) as a supervised learning problem with the PDE as a constraint and optimize over the weights of the neural network. Solve: Approximate the solution by solving the lagrangian relaxation.
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## Deep Learning Approach

Define the following deep neural networks:

- $\hat{K}(\mathbf{x} ; \gamma)=\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{N}}{ }_{K}(\mathbf{x} ; \gamma)$
- $\hat{u}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta)=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{u}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta)$
together with the following two auxiliary functions,
- $f(\mathbf{x} ; \gamma, \theta)=\nabla \cdot\left[\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{K}(\mathbf{x} ; \gamma) \nabla \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{u}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta)\right]=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{f}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta, \gamma)$
- $f_{N}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta)=\partial \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{u}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta) / \partial x_{2}=\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_{N}(\mathbf{x} ; \theta)$


## Loss Function

Next define the following loss function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\theta, \gamma) & =\frac{1}{N_{K}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{K}}\left[\hat{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{K} ; \gamma\right)-K_{i}^{*}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{N_{u}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{u}}\left[\hat{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{u} ; \theta\right)-u_{i}^{*}\right]^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{N_{D}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{D}}\left[\hat{u}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{D} ; \theta\right)-g_{i}^{*}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{N_{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{N}} f_{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{N} ; \gamma, \theta\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{N_{c}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{c}} f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{c} ; \gamma, \theta\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

for some know location observations $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{K}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{K}}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mu}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{u}}$ and collocation points $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{D}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{D}}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{i}^{N}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{N}}$.

## Training

Solve:

$$
(\theta, \gamma)=\underset{\theta, \gamma}{\arg \min } L(\theta, \gamma)
$$

using L-BFGS-B and some weight initialization scheme.

## 3-layered Network, $32 \times 32$ grid, $N_{K}=250, N_{u}=100, N_{c}=1024$



## Relative Error

$$
\varepsilon_{u}=\frac{\|u(\mathbf{x})-\hat{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{L_{2}}^{2}}{\|u(\mathbf{x})\|_{L_{2}}^{2}}, \quad \varepsilon_{K}=\frac{\|K(\mathbf{x})-\hat{K}(\mathbf{x})\|_{L_{2}}^{2}}{\|K(\mathbf{x})\|_{L_{2}}^{2}}
$$

## Uncertainty in Weight Initialization; $N_{c}=1024$




We initialized all our networks using Xavier's normal initialization* scheme.
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## Uncertainty in Collocation Points; $N_{K}=N_{u}=20$



Uncertainty in Collocation Points; K field



## Results




## Bonus: Forcasting the fate of Radioactive lodine

We examine a subset of water radiolysis and subsequent iodine reactions to show proof-of-concept of the ability to forecast chemical evolutions using Recurrent Neural Networks i.e. learn the flow map of the underlying ODE.

Key: Neural Networks can capture dynamics of large dimensional data e.g. multiple chemical species.


## Open Problems and Reference

- Theoretical Guarantees!?
- Better uncertainty quantification.
- Connections to universal approximation theorem.

Alexandre Tartakovsky, Carlos Ortiz Marrero, Paris Perdikaris, Guzel Tartakovsky, David Barajas-Solano, Learning Parameters and Constitutive Relationships with Physics Informed Deep Neural Networks (2018) Jenna A. Bilbrey, Carlos Ortiz Marrero, Michel Sassi, Neil Henson, Malachi Schram, Tracking the chemical evolution of iodine species via recurrent neural networks (2019)

## Scientific Machine Learning

Goal: Facilitate scientific discovery via automation.

Key ingredients for Scientific
Machine Learning:


1. Proper mathematical formulation
2. Incorporate prior/domain knowledge
3. Acquire data


[^0]:    ImageNet Competition ILSVRC Challenge

[^1]:    I. Lagaris et al. "Artificial neural networks for solving ordinary and partial differential equations" (1998)
    M. Rassi et al. "Physics-informed Deep neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems" (2019)

[^2]:    *Glorot, Xavier and Yoshua Bengio. "Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks" (2010)

